Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/720,743	CORRELL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Venkat Perungavoor	2432
Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Venkat Perungavoor</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>John Bednarz</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 30 July 2009	Time: <u>10:30 AM</u>	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 101 Re Bilski		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner indicated to the Applicant that Claim 1 is not tied to a particular machine or apparatus and there is a possible 35 USC 101 Re Bilski rejection. The Examiner further indicated an opening for Examiner Amendment to recifty this issue..